

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,764	05/04/2001	Sakae Ishikawa	207187US2	7828
22850	7590 12/05/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			BUTLER, MICHAEL E	
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3653	

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	09/848,764	ISHIKAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Butler	3653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Sectors</u>	entember 2005.					
<u> </u>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-71 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list Attachment(s)	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/848,764

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Priority

1. Applicant's claims of priority to Japanese applications: 2001-47288 filed 2/22/01; 2000-356640 filed 11/22/00; 2000-135235 filed 5/18/00.

Election/Restriction

2. Applicant's election of invention I without traverse in Paper No. 12 was acknowledged and made final. Applicant's election of Species I with traverse in Paper No. 12 is acknowledged and the species requirement is made final. Applicant identified claims 1-11 as reading on the elected species.

MPEP § 816 relates to patentably distinct inventions, not species.

Applicant asserts no mutually exclusive species have been identified:

Applicant per MPEP § 806.04(f), there was no identification of mutually exclusive species. Same time and different time are clearly mutually exclusive times. Same location and different locations are clearly mutually exclusive. Same type product and differing type product are mutually exclusive products.

Applicant argues there would be no undue burden to all claimed species. However, burden is a restriction requirement element, not a species requirement element.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --
 - (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Application/Control Number: 09/848,764 Page 3

Art Unit: 3653

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

4. Claims 1-2 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Knudsen,

Jr. which discloses:

(Re: cl 1) A specification unit 25 configured to specify a plurality of first article delivery and collection components required to assemble article delivery and collection components and those not required for first apparatus yet required for second rack (c9 L 29-c10 L 13; c4 L 14-30);

Instruction unit configured to provide delivery procedure for second article (c12 L 6-45); (Re: cl 2) pallet with at least one of: top and plurality of supports and shock absorbers (c5 L 1-29)

(Re: cl 7) third rack (c8 L 18-34)

(Re: cl 5) instruction unit includes confirmation unit; article collection center (c10 L 58-67)

(Re: cl 6) if confirmed no stocking of second article component at article delivery and collection components collection center instruction unit instructs management center to deliver article (c7 L 41-c8 L 43)

(Re: cl 8) instruction unit includes first and second instruction units (c7 L 41-c8 L 17; 25/33).

5. Claims 1-7 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Brown 6516242 which discloses:

(Re: cl 1) A specification unit configured to specify a plurality of first article delivery and collection components required to assemble article delivery and collection components and those not required for first apparatus yet required for second rack (c5 L 40-59); Instruction unit configured to provide delivery procedure for second article (c5 L 60-c6 L 16; c8 L 22-35);

(Re: cl 2) pallet with at least one of: top and plurality of supports and shock absorbers (c7 L 23-48, springs serve as shock absorbers);

(Re: cl 3) system supplies components from a use warehouse to an article assembled with components production site (c8 L 22-c9 L 4)

(Re: cl4) instruction unit instructs management center to deliver article (c5 L 60-c6 L 16);

(Re: cl 5) instruction unit includes confirmation unit; article collection center (c8 L22-52) (Re: cl 6) if confirmed no stocking of second article component at article delivery and collection components collection center instruction unit instructs management center to deliver article (c8 L 43-53)

Art Unit: 3653

(Re: cl 7) third article (c8 L 22-35);

(Re: cl 9) both first article and second article are image formation devices (c4 L 54-67)

(Re: cl 10) first article is an image formation device, and said second article is any device other than said image formation device (c4 L 54-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knudsen, Jr. in view of Otsuka et al. wherein Knudsen, Jr. discloses the elements previously discussed and Otsuka et al. discloses:

(Re: cl 3) system sales use warehouse; article assembled with components production site (c5 L 1-35)

(Re: cl4) instruction unit instructs management center to deliver article (c5 L 1-35).

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to move production task completed articles to storage warehouse to have them out of the way till ready for further work or shipment as taught by Otsuka et al..

8. Claims 1-2 and 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knudsen, Jr. wherein Knudsen, Jr. discloses the elements previously discussed.

The examiner takes official notice that it is well known to transport computer systems together including image forming apparatus such as computer systems with printers with monitors and memory storage devices. It would have been obvious at the time of the invention

Application/Control Number: 09/848,764 Page 5

Art Unit: 3653

to transport permutations of printers, monitors, and computer together on a article as these components are regularly used and sold together and there common transfer would help users get the components together and come up with the instant inventions.

9. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knudsen, Jr. in view of Aria et al. '055 (JP11-348055A) wherein Knudsen, Jr. discloses the elements, previously discussed and Aria et al. '055 discloses any elements not inherently taught by Knudsen, Jr. including:

(Re: cl 3) system sales use warehouse; article assembled with components production site (\P 2)

(Re: cl4) instruction unit instructs management center to deliver article (¶ 4); (Re: cl 9) both first article and second article are image formation devices (¶ 111) (Re: cl 10) first article is an image formation device, and said second article is any device other than said image formation device (¶ 111).

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to move production task completed articles to storage warehouse to have them out of the way till ready for further work or shipment as taught by Aria et al. '055 and come up with the instant invention. It would have been obvious at the time of the invention for Knudsen, Jr. to transport permutations of printers, monitors, and computer together on a article as taught by Arai et al. '055 as these components are regularly used and sold together and there common transfer would help users get the components together and come up with the instant inventions.

Response to Amendments/Arguments

10. The applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are unpersuasive in overcoming the rejections. A mobile or portable rack is an article delivery and collection device, so Knudson, Jr. still reads on the substituted element.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/848,764 Page 6

Art Unit: 3653

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Of particular interest is the Thompson reference which discloses an Internet

accessible fuel pump terminal.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Exmr. Michael E. Butler whose telephone number is (571) 272-6937.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Walsh, can be reached on (571) 272-6944. The fax number for the Group is (703) 305-7687.

Michael E. Butler

Michael & Buller

Examiner

SUPERVISORY PATENT EVAMINER
TECHNICAL CHARGE CENTER 3600